

The liquid unions wave is now starting up with one ngo - the Break Isolation e.V. in Berlin.


The Liquid Union formation of any legal body, or its project, is made to provide a *Democratic Economic Platform* with the purpose of serving diverse populations in *authentic manner*, by simply offering to its customers, donees and/or citizens, which are people from its target groups, to become its respected **participants**, being powerful almost as its members, where

the participants, are regularly choosing, with their (prepay) personal union card, one of the existing, or new, project's branch financed proportionally to their amount in the branches.

And so, each union's projects, being defined by the three: Budget, Population and its Need, introduces a new layer of construct - the project's **branches** and a new layer of players - the **participants**, who are *receiving* the donations, privileges, services and/or products *provided* by that project,

- where the participants can participate only in one branch in each of the projects and elect the personals (only up to predefined maximal period of time) for the following three **committees** of the union or its project:
 - **Board** - implementing the decisions made by the participants votes,
 - **Control** - reporting to all participants and notified by any participant, about any possible improvement in the Board implementations,
 - **Experts** - finalizing suggestions to the participants vote suggested by any participant for any issue or projects
- and where the union's member must also be its participant and any of its participants, when want, but after completing the member's obligations, can become its member and the members' vote, without the participants, can only be after all participants are notified fairly in advance and only for dissolving the liquid union/its-project or starting impeachment process against the personals of its committees.

Url: <http://liquid-unions.wikidot.com>



Advantages:

A scalable solution of fair distribution insuring the delivery of resources to their recipients for *diverse populations*, while keeping unchanged the personalities of individuals and the identities and habits of the small groups constituting that populations, when each group gets its proportional part, but non “takes it all” and when each individual has the power, versus that group, to move the budget used upon her/his name.

Solving the problems of misrepresentation, when trust is breaking, especially when the majority in such society has only *void representation, being of all opposing voters plus all abstentions from that voting*. From the society respective, good for for integration without assimilation, while making legal bodies working for the society, as opposed to the current situation of societies working for the huge corporates while increasing the influence of big money in elections while inbetween the elections by their lobbies, making democracies become not of the people but of the money (an extreme example - one dollar as one vote in the USA democracy of dollars).

- *Good* for reducing the corruption environment toxicity, while increasing the activity of the participants allowing their personality grows in different identities, while reducing the power of intermediaries and representatives and increasing involvement of the participants to gain more union's reliability,
- *good* for donors/tax-payers wanting their resources to reach the donees and serving the intended populations, while the donors could increase donation to amplify the participant's fee,
- *good* for creating the critical masses of customers that would be working for them for long-term,

- *good* for strengthening small businesses being, or contracting with, the branches in the union, while the information about the idea can pass via the small businesses struggling in front of the approaching international giants and
- *good* for startups in various fields sympathizing with the democratic economy approach, when are contracting with the projects, to bridge over the middleman, while reducing the price gap toward the customers, as the participants may participate in some projects per each of the fields to avoid project's monopolizing of such field - fields such as of consumption, housing, transport, communications/media education etc, eg: in the consumption field – projects of the direct marketing to consumers from farmers/producers/creators/importers.

Our ngo, the *Break Isolation e.V. in Berlin*, url: <http://liquid-unions.wikidot.com> and contact: liquid-unions@pm.me is to host some type of projects:

- **Inner Liquid Union Projects**, each is an independent entity being a liquid union for one or more liquid union projects in our ngo;
- **Outer Liquid Union Projects**, each is a liquid union being, or in, other ngo/Cooperative/Public-Benefit-Corporation, which is to be consulted by our ngo;
- **Campaigning projects** - digital and lobbying, to push specific issues important for specific critical masses gathered in such liquid unions, including political agenda/s, agendas combined with/in some specific liquid union platform/s;
- **Artistic projects** voicing out improving society by art to push the culture to the liquid union solutions and
- **Digital projects** to assist participants, each Liquid Union and connecting them.
 - This includes integrated packages tools of liquid union personal cards, transactions, projects management, voting, debating, proposing and other democratic tools based on blockchain and other protocols and visualization such as this:

