

[liquid-unions are organizations made for better representation and integration between different groups and individuals.](#) » Definition

[+ More&Related...](#)

Definition (taken from [here](#), see also [flyer](#)):

Liquid union is a union, of which *purpose* is serving a *specific population* in **authentic** manner, as its **participants** are choosing one of the existing or new **channels** in each of its **projects**, where

- each *project* distributes its budget/resources to its *channels* **proportionally to periodical vote** of the *participants* being people from the population for which the project is to supply some of their needs,
- the union provides its participants with its resources, services and/or products, only via more than one *channel* per project,
- member of the union must also be its participant and any of its participants can voluntarily become its member, where
 - members' vote can only be after all participants are notified fairly in advance (default minimum 2 months) and only for dissolving the liquid union/projects or for starting impeachment process against its elected committees,
 - only participants can be elected to such committee and only for maximum time (default 8 cadence of 1/4 year) and
 - at least these 3 committees are elected by the participant for functioning in each union or its project:
 - *Board* - implementing the decisions made by the participants votes,
 - *Reporting* - any participant can notify, as the objective of the reporting committee is to report, to all participants, about any possible improvement in the Board implementations,
 - *Experts* - any participant can suggest subjects to vote upon but Experts committee can help to finalize suggestions for the participants vote.

[<<<](#)

Recommendations

Recommended **structuring** (being reached out through [#Artists4SocialImprovement](#)):

- The liquid union is registered as a **voluntary association** (entitled for some tax deduction).
- **5** fields of projects in any liquid union (optionally using their blockchain and other p2p technologies accelerated by their cloud power channels):
 - Consumption *channeled* by shops,
 - Transportation *channeled* by lines of car sharing,
 - Housing *channeled* by law-firms/hostels/social-real-estate
 - Media/education *channeled* by channels.
 - Banks/insurance/investment of fiat/distributed coins *channeled* by their cloud power channels,
- Some part of each of the channels of the liquid union is held
 - **51%** by the liquid union and
 - **49%** by [comcom of which \(d\) is changeable](#), being a corporate, of which **peer owners** are the **participants** in that liquid union.
- The liquid union may become a project, or a channel, in a bigger liquid union.
 - This way, sub populations can be financed and distributed fairly in a [growing upward networks](#).
- **Family of projects** in one or more liquid unions can be made a **social net**, as long as

the participants are only of one such projects.

- Such family should be made for recovery of the excluded people being participants, for them to return healthier to their society, being their liquid union.
 - Such people's exclusion is many times made through some specific filters, such as **not** having, status, work, home, or what other participants have, etc. (yes, some time people are excluded by some rhetoric, such as of the evangelistic "non human" one).
- This way, people living in their neighborhood could fight against their Destiny of Gentrification,
 - when the people are well organized renters which still have the voting political power to dictate the rules of the economical game, (and as long as they are not, like the owners of the properties, those who could benefit from the gentrification, together with some real estate manipulators like donald the trump).

Recommended **voting**:

To better reflect the long will of the voters and to reduce some forgotten dramatic and random argumentation pushed around such votes and to reducing the effect of high turnout of only small/special interest group of voters, being more organized than the other voters, while lowering the general turnout, we can:

- 1. Increase the time between the proposal and the conclusion date (the **participation time**), being just before turning all announcements to votes and just after counting all the announcements and allow in participation time:
 - 1.1. each voter to announce or change her/his announcement;
 - 1.2. the statistic of the announcements be published and available to all voters.
- 2. Add some more limit conditions for the validation of the proposal in its conclusion date, such that when each limit is not reached, then the vote is canceled, limits such as
 - 2.1. a minimum turnout per vote,
 - 2.2. a limit for tolerance, being the number of changes of announcement per voter together with the limit for the ratio of such tolerance in the vote,

[+ In pictures](#)

By :-> [buildup1](#), on 27 May, 15:39 (45 days ago) [history](#) Tags:

~~Page's End!~~ **Ignore ads** by installing [adblockplus](#).

Powered by [Wikidot.com](#)

[Help](#) | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Report a bug](#) | [Flag as objectionable](#)

Unless otherwise stated, the content of this page is licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License](#)